

## Aux Sable Creek 319 Advisory Committee Comments in Large Group Discussion

### Chapter 6

- Discussion of buffer dimension – why 150’?
- Cost: is that the upfront or “life cycle” cost?
  - Will identify capital vs. operational
  - Will add a column and use same approach (\$ - \$\$\$)
- What is the priority? (order table)
  - Keep continual together?
  - Keep timeframe ones together?
  - Committee needs to decide the priority order for the Action Plan
- Is recreation missing as an action? (no specific action item on this)
- Should table just list “Community Involvement” vs. the ideas falling under that? (Adopt-A-Stream, Eco-Watch, etc.) – **Yes**
- Recommend a water conservation goal?
  - Individual – rain barrels, etc.
  - Community/programmatic – sprinkling ban, etc.

### General Discussion (6&7)

- Mention a “check with agency” to be sure its still current (funding, etc.)
- In financing, talk about development as an opportunity (can leverage impact fees or requirements to improve a natural area to do a water quality project, perhaps even do more than what would typically occur)

### Ranking Action Plan Discussion

- What should rank higher? (website, education)
- Should ranking be based on where you will see more “bang for your buck?” (concrete results)
- Use goal priorities to help rank actions?
- “high”, “medium”, “low”?